

For Agribusiness Leaders

Shared PCBU Responsibilities

How exposed are you?



Who this is for

Operations, Safety, and Risk leaders in agribusinesses where multiple businesses work together - farmers, contractors, transporters, processors, service providers.

Why this matters

In agriculture, more than one business often controls the same risks - for example, a farm, a contractor operating machinery, and a transporter at the loading bay.

Under Australian and New Zealand law, each business is a PCBU and must manage risk so far as is reasonably practicable. When duties overlap, PCBUs must consult, cooperate, and coordinate (the 3Cs). You cannot contract away your duties - each PCBU remains responsible to the extent it has influence and control.

Poor coordination creates gaps. The recent high-profile case at Ports of Auckland showed how failures in leadership, governance and due diligence can result in officer-level liability. Shared work requires shared responsibility - and clear, consistent ways to demonstrate it at scale.

Definitions:

- PCBU: a Person Conducting a Business or Undertaking (e.g., farm, contractor, processor, transporter).
- Overlapping duties: when two or more PCBUs influence the same hazards & risks (same place, task, plant, or people).
- **3Cs:** the duty to Consult, Cooperate, and Coordinate with other PCBUs where duties overlap.

How to use this scorecard

- Read each statement and select **Yes / Partly / No-Unsure**.
- 2 Score **Yes = 2 | Partly = 1 | No/Unsure = 0**.
- Total your points (max **30**).
- Compare to the **Exposure Bands** below.
- 5 Use the **Action Hints** to plan next steps.

Scorecard - Overlapping Duties in practice (15 items, max 30 points)

A. Pre-work planning & risk identification

1	Before work	starts, jo	oint are	as of re	esponsik	oility are	e mapp	ed
	(boundaries,	access	points,	traffic r	outes a	nd wor	kplace)	and
	visible to all F	PCBUs						

For each shared zone, hazards and controls are kept in a shared register and agreed before day one.

___ 2 ____ 1 ____ C

Dynamic risk: When things change (weather, timings, equipment), a broadcast update goes to affected PCBUs and a replan is recorded.

2 1 0

All external workers (contractors, drivers, technicians, tradesmen) complete a site-specific induction for the joint area (site hazards, rules, emergency plan). 2	B. Inducti	on, access & ir	nformation sh	aring	
2	comple	ete a site-specif	ic induction for		
site (not just the contract holder). 2					
There's a single source of truth for updates (hazard register changes, restricted areas, biosecurity notices) that all PCBUs can see. 2 1 0 C. Hazard ownership & role clarity For each shared hazard, the lead PCBU and supporting PCBU(s) are named and visible in the shared plan/map. 2 1 0 Stop-work authority is named for shared areas (who can pause work, direct traffic, enforce exclusion).				rified for ind	ividuals actually or
changes, restricted areas, biosecurity notices) that all PCBUs can see. 2	_ 2	1	_ O		
C. Hazard ownership & role clarity For each shared hazard, the lead PCBU and supporting PCBU(s) are named and visible in the shared plan/map. 2 0 Stop-work authority is named for shared areas (who can pause work, direct traffic, enforce exclusion).	change	_			
For each shared hazard, the lead PCBU and supporting PCBU(s) are named and visible in the shared plan/map. 2 0 Stop-work authority is named for shared areas (who can pause work, direct traffic, enforce exclusion).		1	0		
For each shared hazard, the lead PCBU and supporting PCBU(s) are named and visible in the shared plan/map. 2 0 Stop-work authority is named for shared areas (who can pause work, direct traffic, enforce exclusion).	C. Hazard	ownership & r	ole clarity		
are named and visible in the shared plan/map. 2	Баласа				
Stop-work authority is named for shared areas (who can pause work, direct traffic, enforce exclusion).				, i	porting PCBO(s)
work, direct traffic, enforce exclusion).	2	1	0		
_ 2 1 0				,	who can pause
	2	1	0		

9	intentiona		ship is clear, ir	g controls; layer ncluding who v		e ·
	2		O			
). Joint incid	dent & emei	rgency respor	nse		
10	leads, who		gency services	ored for the join , assembly poin	· ·	nd
		1				
11				ed (jurisdictior rs are told agre		n
	_ 2	1	_ O			
12	share find		date shared c	PCBUs investic ontrols/inducti		
	2	1	0			
E	E. Monitoring	g, assuranc	e & contracto	r managemen	t	
13	any worke			s) create a time can raise issue		
	if needed.	1	_ O			

	ems, co			afety pre-c ations) kep				
2	-	1	0					
usin	g trend	data from	•	ner (seasor I risks/incid			se-out)	
	rovemer							
2		1	0					
_								
Your ex	xposui	re band	(total o	out of 30))			
24–30 = Low exposure: You have strong overlapping-duty practices and regulator-ready evidence. Keep them current: refresh inductions, re-test emergency roles, and pressure-test new joint areas.								

15–23 = Moderate exposure: Solid intent, patchy execution. Prioritise the lowest-scoring category (often role clarity, notification, or information flow). Formalise what's verbal and schedule joint checks.

0–14 = High exposure: Multiple interface gaps - high risk of incident and enforcement. Start with a joint responsibilities map for your top two joint areas (who owns what, when, and how it's verified). Set a 30-day improvement plan.

What 'good' looks like (3 quick scenarios)

We run periodic reviews together (seasonal/contract close-out) using trend data from reported risks/incidents to agree improvements.

 Livestock loading bay (processor + transporter + farmer) Good: Traffic plan on the joint area map, exclusion zones marked, yard supervisor named, driver induction verified, emergency steps posted, single stop-work authority known to all.

Risk signal: Drivers arrive un-briefed; farmer expects transporters to manage pedestrians; no safe channel to report near-misses; no one knows who calls the regulator after a crush injury.

 Contractor spraying on farm (farmer + contractor) Good: Shared weather/chemical limits agreed, field boundaries & no-go zones mapped, PPE & decanting controls owned by contractor, ground conditions by host, scheduled radio check-ins.

Risk signal: "Experienced operator - they'll sort it"; no site map; timings change without notice; no shared update so people work in spray drift.

Field service in workshop (farmer + electrical contractor) Good:
 Permit-to-work, isolation verified, responsible supervisor named, hotwork controls agreed; both parties complete a final check before restart.

Risk signal: Each assumes the other locked out the circuit; "just a quick job" with no induction; no record of who verified isolation.

What to do next

- Pick one joint responsibility area. In 30 minutes, digitally map the area and build a joint responsibility table (hazards, owner, control, verification, stop-work).
- Run a 10-minute pre-start with the other PCBU tomorrow: confirm roles, exclusion zones, notification owner, and how updates will be shared.
- Enable a safe reporting channel for everyone on site (including contractors) and ensure actions are assigned and closed out.
- Agree how you'll investigate together and how findings will update shared controls/inductions.

Note: This guide is general information, not legal advice. Always check local WHS/HSWA requirements.